



Difference in Experience of Pain

Hasan ZA¹, Ismail AF², Khoo SP¹

¹ Dentistry School, International Medical University, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

² Department of Paediatric and Orthodontics, Prince Philip Dental Hospital, Hong Kong University

Please cite this article as: Hasan ZA, Ismail AF, Khoo SP. Difference in experience of pain. Malaysian Dental Journal 2011; 33(2): 21-26.

The International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) updates the definition of pain as “An unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage, or described in terms of such damage.”¹

Chronic pain is a major health issue worldwide and has a profound effect on the quality of life. Data from the world Health Organization estimate that 22% of primary care patients report persistent pain.² The pain system can be altered by injury, disease and genetic factors and like other sensory systems this can lead to disturbed functioning.³ The two major types of pain, nociceptive and neuropathic.⁴ Distinguishing between is important because the causes and treatment are different.

Nociceptive pain results from tissue damage and is further subdivided into somatic and visceral pain. The pain is usually experienced as sharp, dull or aching. There may be radiation of the pain but it will not be in the distribution of the nerve.

Neuropathic pain may occur when there is either damage or dysfunction of nerve in the peripheral or central nervous system. Neuropathic pain frequently coexists with nociceptive pain. Neuropathic pain is often described as having a burning or electrical quality. Sometimes stimulus that usually does not cause pain such as light touch may elicit a paroxysm pain. Neuropathic pain in the peripheral nervous system frequently follows a nerve distribution.

Pain can also be classified into acute or chronic pain.⁴ Physiologic responses to acute pain include tachycardia, tachypnea and sweating due to discharge of sympathetic nervous system. Chronic pain is very different from acute pain. Chronic pain

frequently coexists with depression, making it difficult at times to distinguish between the two.

There are a few experimental pain procedures that have been used to determine pain threshold and pain tolerance, such as thermal pain, ischemic pain, cold pressure pain and pressure pain.

The large magnitude of the individual differences in the perception of pain has been recognized for centuries.⁵ In general, heat pain is strongly and significantly correlated with pain assessed via other modalities such as pressure.⁷ Heat pain threshold and tolerance were assessed using an ascending method of limits.⁸

Ischemic pain is induced when a tourniquet is applied and reported when pain becomes intolerable.^{5,8,9} Cold pressure pain is assessed by having the subjects immerse their left hand up to the wrist in 5°C water.^{10,11} The pressure pain threshold (PPT) is a concept that has been used to study muscular pain since the 1950's, with the goal of identifying the point at which pressure applied over a muscle becomes painful.¹²

Algometry has long been used to measure soft tissue pain associated with trigger points and has been used to assess the effectiveness of treatment which attempt to alleviate these specific tender spots. The algometer has been shown to be an effective way of quantifying the pressure pain threshold (PPT) relating well to other clinical pain measures and there is evidence to support the reliability of algometry to measure the PPT of trigger points.¹³

Pressure is applied at a constant rate of approximately 1 kg/s. Subjects are instructed to say “yes” as soon as the sensation of pressure changes to pain.^{14,15}

Experimentally –induced pain in healthy human subjects under controlled laboratory conditions often yields sex-differentiated results, with women reporting more pain than men.¹⁶ It was found that relative to men, women reported lower pain threshold and lower pain tolerance, with effects consistent in magnitude and direction with the findings of a recent meta-analysis of sex-related differences in experimental pain perception.¹⁷ They also suggest that efforts should continue to investigate biological, physiological, psychological, and cultural influences on pain responding. It is likely that all of these factors contribute to the observed sex-related differences in pain.¹⁸

Pain perception is characterized by substantial inter-individual variability. Although some proportions of this variability reflect measurement error, there is evidence that between-subject differences in pain perception are at least partially explained by true differences in nociceptive processing. Recently, it has been reported greater pain-related activation in several cortical regions in pain-sensitive compared with pain-insensitive subjects, suggesting that individual differences in pain sensitivity are associated with disparate central processing of noxious stimuli rather than with response bias or measurement error.¹⁹ Individual differences in pain perception are inevitably determined by multiple variables, including genetic factors. It was found that women had significantly lower heat pain and pressure pain threshold and tolerance compared with men.⁵ Women also reported significantly higher heat pain ratings during the temporal summation procedures. The pattern of associations between genotype and pain perception varied across pain assays should not be surprising. Indeed, previous findings reveal only low-to moderate correlation among responses to different pain assays, which suggest that disparate factors account for the variability observed in different pain modalities.^{20,21} The findings indicate that subjects with one or more rare alleles exhibited lower sensitivity to pressure pain compared with wild-type subjects. Also, a significant interaction between sex and genotype emerged for heat pain ratings at 49°C, indicating that the rare allele genotype was associated with lower pain ratings among men but higher pain ratings among women. A similar pattern of results

for emerged for heat pain tolerance but did not reach statistical significance.⁵

Considerable evidence has demonstrated that the experience of clinical pain differ among ethnic groups.^{22,23} For instance, Africans American report higher levels of pain in clinical conditions such as glaucoma,²⁴ AIDS,²⁵ migraine headache,²⁶ jaw pain,²⁷ postoperative pain,^{28,29} myofascial pain,^{30,31} angina pectoris,³² joint pain,³³ non-specific daily pain,³⁴ and arthritis^{35,36} compared to whites. In contrast, others have reported no significant ethnic differences in clinical pain severity.^{37,38} While research has suggested greater severity and prevalence of temporomandibular disorder in African American,²⁷ recent research indicates higher frequency, earlier onset, and greater symptom severity among whites.³⁹ More recently, several investigators have noted ethnic differences in pain-related symptoms among patients with chronic non-cancer pain.

Zborowski's pioneering work in the 1950s and 1960s laid the groundwork for many of the more recent investigations of the relationship between ethnicity and the experience of pain. Ethnicity is a cultural term, implying a group of people with a shared distinctive culture and a common language, and as such represents a shared national identity. Race implies a genetic basis when considering differential health status, suggesting that differences identified between individuals are fixed or predisposed.^{40,41}

As summarized,⁴² Zborowski's work has led to new developments in definitions of pain, ethical considerations in pain treatment, the role of learning factors in the expression of pain, and advancing comprehension of cultural and racial factors in chronic pain and illness. With respect to this latter development, numerous clinical studies, investigating a wide variety of painful conditions, have suggested ethnic differences in pain perception. Several investigators have recently noted differences between African American and whites in various forms of clinical pain.

However, in contrast to the fairly rich clinical literature, relatively few experimental studies have examined differences between whites and African Americans in responses to experimental pain. racial differences in pain tolerance has been investigated by using mechanical pressure applied to the Achilles tendon; relative to African

Americans, whites demonstrated higher pain tolerances.⁴³ Similarly, it has been investigated by using the cold pressor test as the method of pain induction, reported greater pain tolerance among whites than among African Americans.⁴⁴ It is indicated that whites possessed greater heat pain thresholds and tolerances than did African Americans.⁴⁵

Ethnic differences were found in responses to noxious thermal stimuli.³⁴ Specifically, although African Americans did not differ from whites on measures of warmth threshold, thermal pain threshold or supra-threshold magnitude estimates of pain intensity, group differences emerged for measures of thermal pain tolerance as well as magnitude estimates of pain unpleasantness at the lowest stimulus temperatures assessed. On these latter measures, African Americans had lower thermal pain tolerances and greater magnitude estimates of the unpleasantness of the 46°C and 47°C stimuli. It has been suggested that pain tolerance and supra-threshold ratings of pain unpleasantness reflect primarily the affective-motivational aspects of pain and that pain threshold and supra-threshold ratings of pain intensity load predominantly on the sensory-discriminative dimension.⁴⁶ Several difficulties of interpreting measures of pain threshold and pain tolerance have been discussed.⁴⁷ Specifically, pain threshold may be more representative of the sensory-discriminative dimension of pain, whereas tolerance may relate more strongly to affective-motivational dimension.

Additionally, recent research has indicated that African Americans described ischemic arm pain as more intense and unpleasant compared to whites when using standardized verbal descriptor scales, but not with individualized scale.⁸ Thus, ethnic differences in responses to both clinical and experimental pain have been reported; however, most previous studies included only one form of experimental pain and varied considerably in their pain induction methods.

In a study on ethnic responses to multiple experimental pain stimuli, found that African Americans did not differ from whites on threshold measures of heat pain, ischemic pain and cold pressor pain, but exhibited significantly lower tolerances for each of the stimulus modalities.⁸ Group differences also emerged for ratings of the

intensity and unpleasantness of supra-threshold heat pain, with African Americans providing higher ratings compared to whites. Differences in experimental pain responses among subgroups within larger ethnic categories have been reported,^{45,48} however, others have reported no significant intraethnic differences in pain responding.^{49,50}

It has been theorized that ethnic differences in pain responses may be most apparent for the effective-motivational dimension of pain.^{32,34,51}

However, in a present study, African Americans reported supra-threshold heat pulses to be more intense and unpleasant at both 49°C and 52°C when compared to whites.⁸ These findings suggest group differences in the sensory-discriminative aspects as well as the affective-motivational dimensions of pain perception. Taken together, these results are generally consistent with previous findings of ethnic differences in experimental pain.^{32,34,43,44,45,47}

It was found that there were ethnic differences in the reported severity of chronic pain, in chronic pain-related disability, and in tolerance for controlled noxious stimulus. Specifically, ethnic group differences were observed on the factors measuring the reported severity of chronic pain and chronic pain-related disability, with African Americans reporting a somewhat greater severity of pain and slightly more pain-related disability than whites.²² In addition, African Americans demonstrated lower ischemic pain tolerance than whites using a standard experimental pain procedure. On average, although white participants tolerated the ischemic arm pain for nearly 9 minutes, African Americans participants terminated the procedure at approximately 5 minutes. Collectively, the present results are consistent with previous investigations reporting ethnic differences in reported severity of chronic myofascial pain 31 and tolerance for experimental pain stimuli.²² Additional findings suggested the clinical relevance of an experimental pain procedure; an inverse relationship emerged between ischemic pain tolerance and the perceived severity of clinical pain, suggesting that individuals reporting greater clinical pain tend to demonstrate lower ischemic pain tolerances.

The observed ethnic differences cannot be attributed to demographic factors, pain characteristics (pain duration, pain location, number of pain sites, and number of previous surgeries), or patterns of medication usage because the two groups were either comparable on these variables or the observed effects remained presents after statistically controlling for group differences. Furthermore, ethnic differences in clinical pain reports and experimental pain tolerance did not seem to be due to mood or emotional distress because African Americans and white participants did not differ on measures of depression, anxiety, or overall affective state. Collectively, the greatest difficulties seem to lie not in the measurement of ethnic differences in pain but in the explanation of these differences.⁴⁷ It has been suggested that ethnic differences in pain responses may emerge as a consequence of ethnic differences in one or more of these other variables.⁵² However, prior studies have suggested that the following factors do not account for differences between African Americans and whites in pain responses: personality,³¹ anxiety,³⁰ education,²⁹ family history of pain,³⁴ attentional variables,³⁴ and peripheral mechanisms of nociception.⁴⁷

In addition there is a study examined the influence of Virtual Humans' (VH) sex and race on participants' ratings of pain intensity, pain unpleasantness, pain-related negative mood, pain coping, and recommendations for medical help. Seventy-five undergraduates viewed a series of VHs and provided computerized visual analog scale (VAS) ratings for the five domains aforementioned above. Mixed model ANOVA analyses showed that participants of both sexes and races viewed female VHs as experiencing greater pain intensity, greater pain unpleasantness, a greater number of pain-related negative moods, poorer coping skills, and a greater need to seek medical help for their pain. Participants of both races rated Caucasian VHs as experiencing more negative moods and poorer coping skills do deal with their pain. The novel computerized VH technology used herein allowed for the standardization of pain expression across sexes and races of VH stimuli, thus allowing us to remove the influence of biases when creating the study stimuli.⁵³

REFERENCE

1. Harold M., Nikolai B. Classification of Chronic Pain-Descriptions of Chronic Pain Syndromes and Definitions of Pain Terms. Second Edition (1994):209-14.
2. Gureje O, Von Korff M, Simon GE, Gater R. Persistent pain and well-being: A World Health Organization study in primary care. *JAMA*. 1998;280:147-51.
3. Heavner JE, Willis WD. Pain Pathways: Anatomy and Physiology. In: Practical Management of Pain, 3rd ed. Raj PP (ed). Mosby, St. Louis, 2000.
4. Hallenbeck JL. Palliative care perspectives: Pain Management. Oxford Press 2003.
5. Fillingim RB, Hastie BA, Ness TJ, Glover TL, Campbell CM and Staud R, Sex-related psychological predictors of baseline pain perception and analgesic responses to pentazocine, *Biol Psychol* (2004) In press.
6. Mechlin B, Leslie Morrow, Maixner W, Susan SG, The relationship of allopregnanolone immunoreactivity and HPA-axis measures to experimental pain sensitivity: Evidence for ethnic differences. *Pain* 131(2007)142-152.
7. Hastie BA, Riley JL, Fillingim RB . Ethnic differences in pain coping: factor structure of the coping Strategies Questionnaire and CSQ-R. *J Pain* 2004;5:304-16.
8. Cambell CMM, Edwards RR, Fillingim RB, Ethnic differences in responses to multiple experimental pain stimuli *pain* 113;(2005):20-6.
9. Coghill RC, Gracely RH. Validation of combined numerical-analog descriptor scales for rating pain intensity and pain unpleasantness. *Proc Am Pain Soc* 1996;86(15):35.
10. Price DD, McGrath P, Rafii A, Buckingham B. The validation of visual analogue scales as ratio scale measures for chronic and experimental pain. *Pain* 1983;17:45-56.
11. Moore PA, Duncan GH, Scott DS, Green JM, Ghia JN. The sub maximal effort tourniquet test: its use in evaluating experimental and chronic pain. *Pain* 197;6:375-82.
12. Fischer, AA. Pressure Algometry over Normal Muscles. Standard Values, Validity and Reproducibility of pressure Threshold. *Pain* 1987;30:115-26.
13. Reeves, J. T., Groves, B. M., Sutton, J. R., Wagner, P. D., Cymerman, A., Malconian, M. K.,

- Rock, P. B., Young, P. M. And Houston, C. S. (1987). Operation Everest II: preservation of cardiac function at extreme altitude. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 63:531-9.
14. Potter L, McCarthy C and Oldham J, Algometer reliability in measuring pain pressure threshold over normal spinal muscles to allow quantification of anti-nociceptive treatment effects 2006.
15. Fischer AA, Application of pressure algometry in manual medicine, *J Manage Med* 5 (1990), PP.145-50.
16. Granges, G., Littlejohn, G. Pressure Pain Threshold in Pain-free Subjects, in Patients with Chronic Regional Pain Syndromes, and in Patients with Fibromyalgia Syndrome. *Arthritis Rheum* 1993; 36:642-6.
17. Fillingim RB, Maixner W, Kincaid S, Sigurdsson A, Harris MB. Pain Sensitivity in patients with temporomandibular disorders: relationship to clinical and psychosocial factors. *Clin J Pain* 1996;12:260-9.
18. Myres CD, Robinson ME, Riley JL, Sheffield D, Sex, GENDER, AND Blood Pressure: Contributions to Experimental Pain Report *Psychosomatic Medicine* 63:545-550(2001).
19. Coghill RC, McHaffie JG and Yen YF, Neural correlates of interindividual differences in the subjective experience of pain, *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100 (2003), pp.8538-8542.
20. Fillingim Rb, Edwards RR, Powell T. The relationship of sex and clinical pain to experimental pain responses. *Pain* 1999;83:419-25.
21. Janal MN, Glusman M, Kuhl JP and Clark WC, On the absence of correlation between responses to noxious heat, cold, electrical and ischemic, *Pain* 58(1994), pp. 403-411.
22. Edwards RR, Doleys DM, Fillingim RB, Lowery D. Ethnic differences in pain tolerance: clinical implications in a chronic pain population. *Psychosom Med* 2001b;63:316-23.
23. Green CR, Anderson KO, Baker TA, Campbell LC, Decker S, Fillingim RB, Kaloupek DA, Lasch KE, Myers C, Tait RC, Todd KH, Vallerand AH. The unequal burden of pain: confronting racial and ethnic disparities in pain. *Pain Med* 2003;4:277-94.
24. Sherwood M, Garcia-Siekavizza A, Meltzer M, Hebert A, Burns A, McGorray S. Glaucoma's impact on quality of life and its relation to clinical indicators. *Ophthalmology* 1998;105:561-6.
25. Breitbart W, McDonald M, Rosenfeld B, Passik S, Hewitt D, Thaler H, Portenoy R. Pain in ambulatory AIDS patients. I. Pain characteristics and medical correlates. *Pain* 1996;68:315-21.
26. Stewart W, Lipton R, Liberman J. Variation in migraine prevalence by race. *Neurology* 1996;47:52-9.
27. Widmalm S, Gunn S, Christiansen R, Hawley L. Association between CMD signs and symptoms, oral parafunctions, race and sex, in 4-6 year African American and Caucasian children. *J Oral Rehabil* 1995;22:95-100.
28. Faucett J, Gordon N, Levine J. differences in postoperative pain severity among four ethnic groups. *J pain Symptom Manage* 1994;9:383-9.
29. White S, Asher M, Lai S, Burton D. Patients' perception of overall function, pain, and appearance after primary posterior instrumentation and fusion idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine* 1999;16:1693-700.
30. Lawlis G, Achterberg L, Kopetz K. Ethnic and sex differences in response to clinical and induced pain in chronic spinal pain patients. *Spine* 1984;9:751-4.
31. Nelson, Novy D, Averill P, Berry L. Ethnic comparability of the MMPI IN pain patients. *J Clin Psychol* 1996;52:485-97.
32. Sheffield D, Biles PL, Orom H, Maixner W, Shepes DS. Race and sex differences in cutaneous pain perception. *Psychosom Med* 2000;62:517-23.
33. Rantanen T, Guralnik JM, Leveille S, Izmirlian G, Hirsch R, Simonsick E, Ling S, Fried LP. Racial differences in muscle strength in disabled older women. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med* 1998; 53:B355-B361.
34. Edwards RR, Fillingim RB. Ethnic differences in thermal pain responses. *Psychosom Med* 1999;61:345-54.
35. Anderson J, Felson D. Factors associated with osteoarthritis of the Knee in the first National Health and Nutrition Survey (HANES I): evidence for the association with overweight, race, and physical demands of work. *Am J Epidemiol* 1987;128:179-89.

36. Creamer P, Lethbridge-Cejku M, Hochber M. Determinants of pain severity in knee osteoarthritis: effect of demographic and psychosocial variables using 3 pain measures. *J Rheumatol* 1999;26:1785-92.
37. Jordon JM, Effect of race and ethnicity on outcomes in arthritis and rheumatic conditions. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 1999;11:98-103.
38. Todd K. Pain assessment and ethnicity. *Ann Emerg Med* 1994;27:421-3.
39. Plesh O, Crawford PB, Gansky SA. Chronic pain in a biracial population of young women. *Pain* 2002;99:515-23.
40. Zborowski M. Cultural components in response to pain. *J Soc Issues* 1952;8:16-30.
41. Zborowski M. *People in pain*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 1969.
42. Encandela J. Social science and the study of pain since Zborowski: a need for a new agenda. *Soc sci Med* 1993;345:783-91.
43. Woodrow K, Friedman G, Siegelau A, Collen M. Pain tolerance: differences according to age, sex, and race. *Psychosom Med* 1972;34:548-56.
44. Walsh N, Schoenfeld L, Ramamurth S, Hoffman J. Normative model for the cold pressor test. *Am J phys Med Rehabil* 1989;68:6-11.
45. Chapman W, Jones C. Variation in cutaneous and visceral pain sensitivity in normal subjects. *J Clin Invest* 1994;23:81-91.
46. Price DD. Psychophysical measurement of normal and abnormal pain processing. In: Boivie J, Hansson P, Lindblom U, editors. *Touch, temperature, and pain in health and disease. Mechanisms and assessments, progress in pain research and management, vol.3*. Seattle, WA: IASP Press; 1994. p.3-25.
47. Zatzick D, Dimsdale J. Cultural variations in response to painful stimuli. *Psychosom Med* 1990;52:54-57.
48. Stermbach R, Tursky B. Ethnic differences among housewives in psychophysical and skin potential responses to electrical shock. *Psychophysiology* 1965;1:241-6.
49. Granot M, Lowenstein L, Yarnitsky D, Tamir A, Zimmer EZ. Postcesarean section pain prediction by preoperative experimental pain assessment. *Anesthesiology* 2003;98:1442-6.
50. Lipton JA, Marbach JJ. Ethnicity and the pain experience. *Soc Sci Med* 1984;19:1279-98.
51. Riley JL, Wade JB, Myers CD, Sheffield D, Papas RK, Price DD. Racial/ethnic differences in the experience of chronic pain. *Pain* 2002;100:291-8.
52. Bates M. Ethnicity and pain: a biocultural model. *Soc Sci Med* 1987;24:47-50.
53. Ashraf F, Alqudah, Adam T, Hirsh, Lauren A, Stutts, Cindy D, Scipio, Michael E, Robinson. Sex and race differences in rating others' pain, pain-related negative mood, pain coping, and recommending medical help. *J Cyber Ther Rehabil*. 2010;3(1):63-70.